Case 1:17-cv-04853-JSR Document 103 Filed 07/09/20 Page 1 of 1

Ballard Spahr

1675 Broadway, 19th Floor New York, NY 10019-5820 TEL 212.223.0200 FAX 212.223.1942 www.ballardspahr.com Thomas B. Sullivan Tel: 212.850.6139 Fax: 212.223.1942 sullivant@ballardspahr.com

July 9, 2020

By Electronic Filing

Hon. Jed. S. Rakoff Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl St. New York, NY 10007-1312

Re: *Palin v. N.Y. Times Co.*, No. 17 Civ. 4853

Dear Judge Rakoff,

We represent Defendants The New York Times Company and James Bennet (collectively, "Defendants") in this matter. Pursuant to the parties' conversation with Chambers yesterday, we write to provide substitute copies of two exhibits attached to the Declaration of Thomas B. Sullivan, Dkt. 99, filed in support of Defendants' motion for summary judgment. When Exhibits 4 and 5 to the declaration, containing excerpts from the deposition testimony of Linda Cohn and Timothy Crawford, respectively, were filed with the Court, header information was inadvertently omitted. Specifically, the interior pages are missing the name of the witness, the date the deposition was conducted, and the page number of the transcript. The attached replacement exhibits restore this missing information. Plaintiff's counsel Shane Vogt has consented to this substitution.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Thomas B. Sullivan Thomas B. Sullivan

Exhibit 4

1	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	
4	SARAH PALIN,
5	No. 17-cv-4853
6	Plaintiff, v.
7	THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY and
8	JAMES BENNET,
9	Defendants.
10	x
11	
12	Remote videotaped deposition of LINDA
13	COHN, taken pursuant to Subpoena, was held via
14	videoconference, commencing May 7, 2020, at
15	10:02 a.m., on the above date, before Amanda
16	McCredo, a Court Reporter and Notary Public in the
17	State of New York.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23	
25	

1	L. Cohn
2	Did you work with Phoebe Lett?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What was Phoebe's position?
5	A She was I really don't remember what her
6	title was. She took care of administrative tasks
7	and she helped with fact checking.
8	Q In your position and role at The New York
9	Times in the 2017 time period, did you also work on
10	fact checking?
11	A Fact checking was part of everyone's role
12	from the writer on, so yes.
13	Q And who bore the ultimate responsibility
14	for the factual accuracy of an editorial?
15	A It was a shared responsibility starting
16	with the writer going on through to the copy editor.
17	Q Was there anyone that had the ultimate
18	responsibility, though, for the factual accuracy of
19	the piece?
20	MS. SCHELL: Object to form.
21	Q You can answer.
22	MS. SCHELL: You can answer.
23	A Oh, I I'm not really sure what that
24	what that even means. No, I wouldn't say one person
25	was wholly responsible. I mean, no. There's no

1	L. Cohn
2	the copy editor's the last one to see the copy.
3	There is a certain responsibility there. The fact
4	checker has a certain responsibility. The writer,
5	the editor, and, of course, the editor of the page.
6	I'm not sure how to quantify.
7	Q And when you when you use the term
8	"writer," would the writer be the same thing as the
9	author of the piece?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And the situation where an editorial was
12	written in the name of the editorial board, who
13	would the writer be?
14	A It would depend on which editorial.
15	Q So do you know who the writer was with
16	respect to the ""America's Lethal Politics""
17	editorial?
18	A Elizabeth Williamson.
19	Q And what role, to your knowledge, did James
20	Bennet have on the ""America's Lethal Politics""
21	editorial?
22	A He did the last round of editing on it.
23	Q And what role did you have on the
24	"America's Lethal Politics" editorial?
25	A I did an initial edit.

1 L. Cohn 2 And when you say "initial," what do you 3 mean by that? 4 Well, actually, I don't know that it was 5 the first edit. I did an edit on it around 4:30, 6 5:00, put in a couple questions, and then turned it 7 over to James. 8 And after you turned it over to James, do 9 you recall doing anything else on the "America's Lethal Politics" editorial? 10 11 Yes, I got it back from James, looked it Α 12 over, made sure it fit, worked on the headline, 13 and --14 And when -- I'm sorry. 15 -- and the blurb, which is the little 16 pull-quote we use. 17 And what's the purpose of the blurb or the 18 pull-quote? 19 I actually can't remember, actually, if 20 there was a blurb on that one. But the purpose of a 21 blurb is really to break up the type visually and 22 draw the reader in. 23 And do you recall whether or not, after you 2.4 got the "America's Lethal Politics" editorial back 25 from Mr. Bennet, did you do any fact checking on it?

1	L. Cohn
2	MS. SCHELL: Object to form.
3	You can answer.
4	A Yes. I checked some at least one thing.
5	Q And what did you what do you recall
6	checking after you got the editorial back from
7	Mr. Bennet?
8	A There was a question about some kind of gun
9	control rule, regulation, or law I remember spending
10	time on, along with Eileen Lepping.
11	Q And was that it?
12	A That's all I can remember. That is the one
13	that stuck out. There may have been other things.
14	Q Okay.
15	Let me ask you, I'm going to send you
16	through what I'm going to mark as Exhibit A to your
17	deposition.
18	(An unlikely journey to The New
19	York Times editorial page was
20	marked as Cohn A for
21	identification, as of this
22	date.)
23	A Should I open that PDF, in other words?
24	Q Yes.
25	A Okay.

1	L. Cohn
2	Exhibit 8, down a couple of paragraphs in the one
3	that I was just reading from, it reads, "So why does
4	a story get framed this way? Journalism educators
5	characterize this kind of framing as a storytelling
6	habit one of relating new facts to an existing
7	storyline and also as a reflex of news
8	organizations that are built to handle some topics
9	well, and others less well."
10	Did you ever hear anyone talk about the
11	concept of a storytelling habit while you were
12	working at The Times?
13	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
14	A I, I have never heard that phrase,
15	"storytelling habit," before.
16	Q Have you ever heard anyone, while you were
17	working at The Times, talk about the concept of
18	"framing a story"?
19	A Yes.
20	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
21	Q And what is your understanding of what
22	"framing a story" means?
23	A Through what lens do we want to look at
24	this issue. Is it for example, you might say is
25	it an economic issue, is it a cultural issue, do we

1 L. Cohn 2 want to see it politically in terms of the political 3 parties. That would be a general idea to me of what 4 framing is. 5 And do you recall whether or not there were 0 any discussions about framing in the context of the 6 7 "America's Lethal Politics" editorial? 8 I don't recall anyone using the word 9 "framing" or "frame." 10 Q Do you recall anyone discussing the lens 11 through which they wanted to look at the topics that 12 were discussed in the "America's Lethal Politics" 13 editorial? Well, there was much discussion as to what 14 15 we wanted to say in the editorial. I don't know 16 that the concepts of lenses or framing is exactly 17 what we were talking about. We were really talking 18 about what's important in the story and what do we 19 want to say and what direction do we want the 20 editorial to go. There was discussion about that. 21 And when you say "what direction we want 0 22 the editorial to go," what do you mean by that? 23 Is this piece going to be mainly about gun 2.4 control or are we going to -- is there anything 25 specific we want in terms of gun control; is it

1	L. Cohn
2	going to be more about the political climate, the
3	fact that it was a member of Congress who was
4	attacked. Yeah, what what themes are we going to
5	explore in this piece.
6	Q And when you say there were discussions,
7	were those discussions did they take place
8	verbally or strictly by email? Do you recall?
9	A I recall there was an email from Bob Semple
10	laying out the initial direction. And there were
11	various discussions throughout the day, just
12	informal. I don't remember if they were phone, text
13	message, or email. Probably a variety.
14	Q Okay.
15	MR. VOGT: Why don't we go ahead and take a
16	break there. That's a good stopping point, and
17	we're at about an hour, and we'll come back at,
18	say, 11:10.
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now off the
20	record. The time is 10:56 a.m.
21	(Recess taken.)
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning
23	of Media Unit No. 2. We're back on the record.
24	The time is 11:13 p.m.
25	BY MR. VOGT:

1	L. Cohn
2	Q You had mentioned before in your testimony
3	that when you were working as the did you say
4	night editor, or was it just you were the editor at
5	night?
6	A My official title was weekend editor,
7	but yeah. It was the copy editor position.
8	Q And how would the copy editor position
9	differ from just a regular editor position?
10	A You are editing a copy that's already been
11	edited and approved by either the editor of the page
12	or the deputy or, in some instances, Bob Semple, and
13	is closer to the point where it is just ready to go
14	into the paper. More emphasis on style, grammar,
15	and some fact checking.
16	Q Okay.
17	And I'm going to go through just a few
18	different pieces and see if you recall at all
19	whether you worked on these.
20	Let me start with Exhibit 10.
21	(Exhibit 10 was shown to the
22	witness.)
23	Q It should be a piece entitled "Playing All
24	the Angles" by Maureen Dowd.
25	Do you have that?

1	L. Cohn
2	A I'm opening it. Do you know what day of
3	the week it appeared?
4	Q I looked that up during the break. It
5	was according to Google, at least, it was a
6	Saturday.
7	A I do not believe I worked on that, but I
8	could be wrong. If I could go back into The Times'
9	computer and check to see who had worked on it, but
10	I don't believe so.
11	Q You don't recall off the top of your head?
12	A No. I think I probably did not.
13	Q And have you while you were working at
14	The Times, did you ever hear people refer to Sarah
15	Palin as a "mean girl"?
16	A No.
17	Q Did you ever hear anyone refer to her as
18	"Queen Bee Sarah"?
19	A Not that I can recall.
20	Q While you were working at The Times, did
21	you ever hear anyone within the editorial department
22	refer to Sarah Palin in a derogatory manner?
23	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
24	A "Editorial department," when you use that
25	phrase, are you referring to Opinion columnists,
	1

1	L. Cohn
2	because or?
3	Q First just start, like, editorial board
4	members.
5	A Editorial board members, and
6	Q So let me rephrase the question and phrase
7	it in a proper manner.
8	While you were working at The New York
9	Times, did you ever hear an editorial board member
10	refer to Sarah Palin in a derogatory manner?
11	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
12	A Not that I can recall.
13	Q And while you were working at The Times,
14	did you ever hear an op-ed columnist refer to Sarah
15	Palin in a derogatory manner?
16	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
17	A When you say "refer," are you saying in a
18	written piece, something they wrote, or something
19	they said?
20	Q Something they said.
21	A No. I don't recall I mean, I don't
22	recall any conversations with op-ed columnists about
23	Sarah Palin other than William Kristol, whom I
24	edited for the entire year he was at The Times, and
25	we had conversations about Sarah Palin. Nothing he

1	L. Cohn
2	said was derogatory. He was in fact, those
3	columns were right when she started to appear more
4	in the national stage and he was urging that she be
5	considered as a VP candidate. So they were there
6	was nothing derogatory.
7	Q And who was William Kristol?
8	A A journalist, and he was a columnist for
9	one year leading up to Sarah Palin's candidacy and
10	during the candidacy. I don't I don't remember
11	if it was actually a calendar year or what, but
12	hired to cover that campaign. And he was one of the
13	first people who started talking about Sarah Palin.
14	And I edited those columns, so we certainly had many
15	conversations.
16	Q Could he be considered a conservative
17	journalist?
18	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
19	Q You can answer.
20	A Yes.
21	Q Would he actually be considered
22	neo-conservative?
23	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
24	You can answer.
25	A I'm not sure. That term is I'm not

1	L. Cohn
2	sure. To me, he's a conservative. I don't know
3	that I would I'm sure people have called him a
4	neo-con.
5	Q Okay.
6	Let me show you you can close
7	Exhibit 10, and I'm going to send you Exhibit 11.
8	(Exhibit 11 was shown to the
9	witness.)
10	A Okay.
11	Q And Exhibit 10 I mean Exhibit 11 should
12	be a piece titled "Sarah Palin, Rage Whisperer."
13	A Okay.
14	Q And this is dated January 26, 2017, which I
15	believe was a Monday.
16	A Okay.
17	Q Do you recall whether or not you worked on
18	this piece?
19	A I would not have worked on that piece
20	because wait. This is online, right?
21	Q Yes.
22	A Yeah, I really worked on print.
23	And she's an outside commentator; that's
24	the Opinion department. I only worked on Opinion
25	New York Times Opinion columnists, which is, you

1 L. Cohn 2 know, the kind of -- those definitions are starting 3 to change a bit now, but up until I left, it was 4 very clear. There were, you know, two columnists a 5 day, about, sometimes one, and they were the 6 regular, stable, weekly Times op-ed columnists. 7 These are, like, out -- Nicole Wallace was an outside contributor. I wouldn't -- that goes to 8 9 a department I was not part of. It was the Opinion 10 department. But not -- yeah, it didn't go through 11 the editorial board copy editor. 12 And do you recall reading this piece, 13 Exhibit 11? 14 No. 15 Do you recall, at any point in time while 16 you were working for the editorial board, there 17 being any discussions within the editorial department about any attacks that Sarah Palin had 18 19 made on the media? 20 MS. SCHELL: Objection to form. 21 Α I don't recall any. I -- I don't recall 22 any. I was -- I was there at night and on weekends, so it would have had to have been a telephone 23 2.4 conversation, and I don't recall any. 25 Q Okay.

1	L. Cohn
2	You can close Exhibit 11, and I'm going to
3	send you Exhibit 12.
4	(Exhibit 12 was shown to the
5	witness.)
6	A Okay.
7	Q And it should be Charles Blow's
8	December 3rd, 2010, column "She Who Must Not Be
9	Named"; and that, I believe, was a Friday.
10	A Okay.
11	Q Do you recall whether you worked on this
12	piece?
13	A I did not.
14	Q Have you ever seen this piece before?
15	A I have seen many, many Charles Blow
16	columns. I do not recall seeing this particular
17	one.
18	Q Do you recall there ever being any
19	discussions while you were working for the editorial
20	board about whether articles about Sarah Palin drive
21	web traffic?
22	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
23	A I never heard anyone say that ever.
24	Q While you were working with the editorial
25	board, did anybody talk about web traffic or what

1	L. Cohn
2	topics may generate more interest online?
3	A We talked about what readers would find
4	interesting and we did look to see how many readers
5	had read certain pieces. And, yes, we did yeah.
6	There were times where people said, "Yeah, that will
7	get a lot of clicks." But yeah.
8	As the years went on, there was more
9	technology to track those things. Most of the time
10	I was there, there was not.
11	Q Let me show you another piece by Charles.
12	This is Exhibit 60.
13	(Exhibit 60 was shown to the
14	witness.)
15	A Okay.
16	Q And it should be a piece titled "The Tuscon
17	Witch Hunt" from January 14 of 2011, which I believe
18	was a Friday.
19	Do you know whether you worked on this
20	piece?
21	A I did not.
22	Q Have you ever seen this piece before?
23	A I may have seen it. I don't recall. I've
24	seen hundreds of Charles Blow columns, so I don't
25	know, I'm sorry.

1	L. Cohn
2	Q Do you recall when you may have seen it?
3	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
4	A I don't recall seeing it, so I can't tell
5	you when I might have seen it.
6	Q Do you know whether or not, on June 14 of
7	2017, anyone reviewed this article or, I'm sorry,
8	this column, by Mr. Blow, "The Tuscon Witch Hunt"?
9	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
10	A Whether anyone did you say whether
11	anyone reviewed it?
12	Q Yeah. Anyone let me rephrase the
13	question.
14	Do you know whether on June 14 of 2017,
15	whether anyone that worked on the "America's Lethal
16	Politics" editorial reviewed Mr. Blow's column, "The
17	Tuscon Witch Hunt"?
18	A I do not. That could have been on the list
19	of some articles that were circulated. I'm sure
20	there were a list of articles that were circulated
21	during the fact-checking process. But no, I can't
22	recall whether anyone reviewed it.
23	Q And you can close that one. Let me show
24	you one more. This is Exhibit 61.
25	(Exhibit 61 was shown to the

Lir	ıda	Cohn
May	07,	2020

1	L. Cohn
2	witness.)
3	A Okay.
4	Q This should be a column titled "United in
5	Horror" by Ross Douthat from January 9, 2011, and
6	that's a Sunday.
7	And do you know whether you worked on this
8	column?
9	A I based on the date and the day of the
10	week, I probably did, if I wasn't on vacation. But
11	can I take a second to read it?
12	Q Yes.
13	A Okay.
14	(Perusing document.)
15	I don't remember that column, but I may
16	have edited that. As I said, I've edited hundreds
17	of op-ed columns, and so many by Ross Douthat, that,
18	you know
19	Q That's what I was going to ask you, too.
20	A that I can't recall.
21	Q Did you edit a number of Ross Douthat
22	columns?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Let's talk about June 14 of 2017 now.
25	Do you recall how you first learned about

1	L. Cohn
2	the what we'll call "the Scalise shooting" that
3	happened in Virginia?
4	A I I don't recall exactly. I know I came
5	in a bit later in the day. Whether I heard
6	whether I saw it on my phone or on the way in, I
7	don't know. I remember I got an email about it.
8	Q And do you remember who the email that you
9	received was from?
10	A Bob Semple.
11	Q And do you remember what the subject matter
12	of the email from Mr. Semple was?
13	A Can I see it?
14	Q Well, I was just trying to figure out which
15	one let me start with this one. I think this is
16	the first one I saw your name on.
17	I'm sending you Exhibit 16.
18	(Exhibit 16 was shown to the
19	witness.)
20	A Okay, okay.
21	Q And there's an email from Mr. Semple at
22	11:59 a.m., and you're among one of the people
23	listed on the "to" line.
24	A Right.
25	Q Is this the email that you were referencing

1	L. Cohn
2	from the "America's Lethal Politics" editorial, were
3	you working on anything else on June 14 of 2017?
4	A I'm sure I picked up other pieces and
5	worked on them during the day. That would be
6	normal. I don't think I really turned got that
7	involved with the legal politics piece until quite
8	late in the day. So I'm sure I was working on
9	something else. I don't recall what.
10	Q Do you recall let me ask you this.
11	So once you get into the office, where was
12	your office located?
13	A In relation in The Times Building?
14	Q Yes.
15	A In the editorial page section.
16	Q Did you have your own office or did you
17	work at a cubicle or an area like that? Where was
18	your work space?
19	A I had my own office. There was the copy
20	editors had an office. I think there was maybe one
21	other than mine.
22	At that point, the empty deputy editor
23	office was, you know, across from me with some desks
24	in the middle. And then James's was next to that.
25	So, like yeah, I was on the side

1 L. Cohn 2 like, theirs was across the window and mine was 3 across the little workspace. 4 Okay. 5 Do you recall, at any point in time on 6 June 14 of 2017, having any conversations either on 7 the phone or in an office with Mr. Bennet about the 8 "America's Lethal Politics" editorial? 9 I may have had some casual conversations, 10 but the only one I can recall is after the piece got 11 to me very late afternoon or early evening and I --12 I might have texted him, but I think I went to his 13 office at one point. I sort of remember standing in 14 front of his glass door, you know, opening up the 15 door or something, and saying, "You need to look at 16 this." 17 When you said "you need to look at this," 18 what were you referring to? That I wasn't -- I recall thinking I wasn't 19 Α 20 really sure if it's what he wanted. I thought there 21 were some issues with it. I thought there had been 22 quite the confusion over the day as to where this 23 piece was headed, as to be either more of a gun 2.4 control piece or to be more of a piece about the 25 political climate and the sort of lack of civility

1 L. Cohn in America's political discourse. 2 3 And when you say you recall thinking you 4 weren't really sure, what weren't you really sure 5 about? 6 Α I wasn't sure what James intended, wanted 7 in the piece. I wasn't sure if the piece worked. 8 And I think there were also some, maybe, issues 9 about fit for the prep paper, big question of how it 10 was going to work with the other pieces. I think 11 there might have been some issues about length. 12 And when you say you weren't sure it 13 worked, what do you mean by that? MS. SCHELL: Objection to form. 14 15 I wasn't sure it accomplished what James 16 would want it to accomplish. 17 And what did you -- what was your 18 understanding of what James wanted it to accomplish? Well, that's what I wasn't sure about. 19 20 Okay. 0 21 I mean, we had -- you know, there were a Α 22 couple competing ideas about the piece. Gun 23 control, I could see that Bob was kind of pushing 2.4 that. However, our gun control -- usual gun control 25 writer and expert on the issue, Frank Clines, was

1 L. Cohn 2 not in that day. So Elizabeth was writing it. And 3 she's more of a political writer, but could 4 certainly write about gun control. So -- and then I 5 think there were pushes from other people. And I 6 cannot actually recall who, although you showed me 7 the email with Nick Fox's thought on it, which I 8 hadn't recall -- actually, I don't think I've seen, 9 because I don't think I was on that one, so I hadn't 10 seen that -- where he thought it would -- suggesting 11 it should be more about the broader political issue 12 of discourse. 13 And were those the competing ideas that you 14 were referencing, qun control versus the political 15 climate issue? 16 Α Yes. 17 MS. SCHELL: Objection to form. 18 I -- yeah. I'm not -- I might not 19 say "competing" because you could deal with more 20 than one issue in a piece, but there was a question 21 as to how much nitty-gritty we wanted to get into on 22 gun control and what that would be. Right, yes. 23 And do you recall, during the course of 2.4 this conversation that you had with Mr. Bennet on 25 June 14 of 2017, what Mr. Bennet said in response to

1	L. Cohn
2	the questions that you raised?
3	A He said, "I'll take a look at it," and he
4	called up the piece. He, I think, at one point
5	said, yeah, it needs some work, I'll do it.
6	Something to that effect. That's not I'm not
7	quoting him. That was the message.
8	Q And do you recall around what time this
9	conversation occurred?
10	A I don't know. I mean, 5:00 maybe. I don't
11	know. Maybe later. Approaching deadline. I
12	then yeah.
13	Q And you said approaching deadline. When
14	was the deadline?
15	A 8:00.
16	Q And what was that the deadline for?
17	A The print edition.
18	Q And was it for the print edition in the
19	U.S. or international?
20	A U.S.
21	Q Was there a deadline for the international?
22	A We sent it to them before we left for the
23	night and I think I don't know. And it did
24	those deadlines do change over time. But, like,
25	3:00 a.m. or something.

1 L. Cohn 2 And do you recall, at any point on June 14 0 3 of 2017, did anyone express an urgency to get this particular piece, "America's Lethal Politics", out 4 5 that day? 6 MS. SCHELL: Objection to form. 7 We -- the sense was -- yes, we wanted to 8 get it in the paper the next day, which -- you know, 9 once we put it on what we call the DEW, the 10 schedule, you know, when Bob Semple said, "Yes, 11 let's put it on there," the goal is to get it in the 12 next day's print paper and to get it online that 13 night. 14 Was there already another editorial that 15 was planned for the June 15, 2017, edition of the 16 paper before you started working on "America's 17 Lethal Politics"? 18 MS. SCHELL: Objection to form. 19 Well, there -- there were at least two or 20 three editorials that day. I'd have to look at them 21 to remind myself whether it was two or three. So we 22 could have had those. 23 Is that what you were asking? 2.4 Q Yes. 25 Α Yes.

1	L. Cohn
2	Q Do you have any independent recollection of
3	what those were?
4	A No.
5	Q Let me show you another document, which is
6	Exhibit 20.
7	(Exhibit 20 was shown to the
8	witness.)
9	A Okay.
10	Q This should be an email from Mr. Bennet on
11	June 14 at 12:41 p.m.
12	A Uh-huh.
13	Q Do you recall this email?
14	A I'm going to take a second to read it.
15	Q Yes, please do.
16	A (Perusing document.)
17	Okay. I don't recall that email.
18	Q In this email, Mr. Bennet says, "Hard for
19	me to imagine that Bernie himself is guilty of
20	anything like that. But if there's evidence of the
21	kind of inciting hate speech on the Left that we, or
22	I at least, have tended to associate with the Right
23	(e.g., in the run-up to the Gabby Giffords
24	shooting), we should deal with that."
25	Do you recall, at any time, having any

1	L. Cohn
2	anyone that was working on the "America's Lethal
3	Politics" editorial that related to any link between
4	political incitement and the Giffords shooting?
5	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
6	A I cannot recall a conversation.
7	Q And can you recall, at any point in time on
8	June 14 of 2017, whether you personally looked to
9	see whether or not any link between political
10	incitement and the Giffords shooting had been
11	established?
12	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
13	A I do not recall looking.
14	Q And do you recall if anyone else looked to
15	see whether or not a link had been established
16	between political incitement and the Giffords
17	shooting?
18	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
19	A Excuse me, could you ask that again?
20	Q Sure. Do you remember whether or not, on
21	June 14 of 2017, anyone who was working on the
22	"America's Lethal Politics" editorial looked to see
23	whether or not a link had been established between
24	political incitement and the Giffords shooting?
25	MS. SCHELL: Same objection.

1	L. Cohn
2	A I don't think I would know if they had
3	looked.
4	Q Which I understand, you don't think that
5	you would know.
6	But do you know whether anyone did?
7	A I don't remember hearing that I don't
8	remember it. I mean, I just don't remember whether
9	anyone did.
10	Q And as we sit here today, do you know
11	whether or not any link between political incitement
12	and the Giffords shooting has been established?
13	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
14	A I know that there was no link established
15	between the flyer we mentioned and the Giffords
16	shooting.
17	Q And when
18	A I
19	Q When you say "the flyer we mentioned," are
20	you referring to the map that was circulated by
21	Sarah Palin's political action committee?
22	A Correct.
23	Q And how is it that you know that no link
24	was established between the map circulated by Sarah
25	Palin's political action committee and the Loughner

```
1
                           L. Cohn
 2
     shooting?
 3
              Because when I walked into work the next
 4
     day, I discovered we'd been wrong and we were
 5
     running a correction.
 6
              And how did you discover that you were
         0
 7
     wrong?
 8
              Everyone told me when I walked in the door.
 9
              When you say "everyone told me when I
10
     walked in the door, " who's everyone?
11
              James was there, Jesse Wegman. Just the
         Α
12
     room was abuzz with discussion of that.
13
              But because I worked on the correction with
14
     James and Jesse Wegman, I most clearly remember that
     there was a general gasp in the room like, "Oh, my
15
16
     God. How could we get this wrong?"
17
              Did -- what time did you get to work on
18
     June 15th?
              I don't know. I, I -- I don't know. I
19
20
     would assume probably, like -- I'd have to see when
21
     the correction ran, and then I would know I was
22
     there probably an hour before. Probably like 11:00,
23
     12:00.
             11:00. I don't know.
2.4
              That was a Thursday. Did you normally get
25
     into the office later on Thursdays?
```

1 L. Cohn 2 I am sure I was included on some of those, Α 3 yes. 4 And do you recall whether or not, on the 5 morning of -- or the afternoon of June 14, 2017, you 6 went back and looked at any links that were shared 7 amongst the people that were working on the 8 "America's Lethal Politics" editorial? 9 MS. SCHELL: Objection to form. 10 Α Did you say on the 15th or on the 14th? 11 On the 14th, but I'll rephrase it because 0 12 it was probably a bad question. 13 On June 14 of 2017, do you recall at any 14 point in time reviewing editorials that were circulated amongst the people who were working on 15 16 the "America's Lethal Politics" editorial? 17 I vaguely recall seeing some gun control 18 Whether I looked them up on my own or they stories. 19 were the ones being circulated, I don't know. 20 Do you have any recollection of whether or 21 not, on June 14 of 2017, you conducted any research 22 related to political rhetoric or political 23 incitement in connection with the "America's Lethal 2.4 Politics" editorial? 25 MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Linda Cohn May 07, 2020

74

L. Cohn

A I really can't specifically recall. I mean, I know I got that -- I wasn't really involved with that piece until very late in the day, so I really can't recall what kind of research I was doing prior to that or in that small amount of time I had it.

I do remember doing some research on one aspect of gun control that we were very nervous we were going to get that wrong. And as happens with fact checking, you have a sense of what aspects you think are most susceptible to confusion or to error, even, and I remember spending a lot of time on that because gun control issues are very ornate and detailed and different in all the states. And it's, it's -- it tends to be an issue that can be very prone to error because there's so many different kinds of guns and different laws and such a patchboard across the country. So -- and because Elizabeth was not our gun control writer, I do remember doing -- being concerned, I think along with Eileen Lepping, the fact checker, and probably Nick Fox, who was reading the piece while I was reading it, but he had it on view and I had -- had it active about -- it was something about a gun

1 L. Cohn 2 control regulation or rule, and we spent quite a bit 3 of time researching that. 4 And do you know --5 Unfortunately --Α 6 0 Go ahead. 7 Unfortunately, we spent a lot of time on 8 that and that ate up quite a bit of time, yeah. 9 And just generally speaking, when you were 10 working on a piece that had an issue that was 11 susceptible to confusion or error in it, would you 12 typically slow down, like, take a step back and say, 13 "Wait, we need to slow down on this piece and make 14 sure we get it right"? 15 MS. SCHELL: Objection to form. 16 Α If deadline pressure allowed, yes. I mean, 17 you use your instincts as to what you think is --18 are the susceptible points in terms of accuracy in a 19 piece of writing and devote the time that you have 20 accordingly. 21 And do you know, at any point in time on 22 June 14 of 2017, did you have any discussions with 23 Mr. Bennet about the issue in the piece that you had 2.4 just described to me that you thought was -- you had 25 a sense was most susceptible to confusion or error?

1 L. Cohn 2 MS. SCHELL: Objection to form. 3 There might have been some little written 4 back-and-forth. But, I mean, probably that -- I 5 believe that was between me, Eileen Lepping, 6 possibly Nick Fox, maybe Elizabeth, because I just 7 remember it being fairly granular about gun control, 8 not really about our policy about gun control, which 9 is more, you know, something -- that I probably 10 would have discussed more with James. But this had 11 a certain specificity that was more a question, I 12 think, for the writer and Eileen. 13 Did -- in this email that we're looking at, 14 the one on June 14 of 2017 that's Exhibit 25, 15 there's an email from Elizabeth Williamson at 16 1:40 in that one. It says, "Phoebe, Thanks. 17 there one that references hate-type speech against 18 Dems in the run-up to her shooting? James referenced that." 19 2.0 Α Right. 21 Do you know whether anyone ever did any 22 research for articles that related to hate-type 23 speech against Dems in the run-up to the Gabby 2.4 Giffords shooting on June 14, 2017? 25 MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.

1 L. Cohn 2 I don't know if anyone did. I don't know Α 3 if --4 Q Did you --5 I don't know if they did or didn't. Α 6 0 Did you ever research that specific issue? 7 MS. SCHELL: Objection to form. 8 I may have. I mean, I spent much of the Α 9 day just reading news, refreshing myself on certain 10 I don't recall if I read that or not. issues. When you -- in this time period, June 14 of 11 Q 12 2017, when you were conducting research in 13 association with editorials that you were working 14 on, how would you typically do that? I mean, would 15 you go to The Times website? Would you use Google? 16 What would you do? 17 All the above. It depends on the issue. 18 If we had a long history of pieces, I would go and 19 read those previous pieces. Because one of the 20 concerns is that if the board has a position, if we 21 change our position, we want -- we want to make sure 22 we're doing it with thought and, you know, it's a --23 that we've made a thoughtful decision if there is 2.4 some policy change. So you want to make sure you're 25 reflecting past, established positions. So I

1	L. Cohn
2	probably would rely mostly on you know, that
3	would be a primary source.
4	And then news stories, which could be from
5	The Times or from any other publication. And yes, I
6	would probably get to them through Google.
7	Q If you were trying to verify a fact through
8	news stories, would you look for news stories in The
9	Times first?
10	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
11	A Not necessarily. I would consider it a
12	good source, but, I mean, I often would be checking
13	Washington Post. Sometimes it's just about you
14	Google something and it was whichever established
15	source would come up first.
16	Q And when you conducted research in
17	connection with editorials that you were working on,
18	would you save your research?
19	A No. I mean, I didn't keep files of what I
20	read for each piece, no.
21	Q So if an occasion arose, if you needed to
22	go back and find what sources you had relied on to
23	verify a fact in an editorial, how would you go
24	about doing that?
25	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.

L. Cohn

2.4

A Well, often writers would compile lists of sources they had and they would send it to an email. So some writers -- say, for example, Mira Kamdar was a writer in editorial. She would always send a long list which would have a source for almost everything, everything that could be broken down into a little fact in a piece, which the fact checkers would look at. I would look at some of them. And then -- so -- for those, there might be a record. I'm not really quite sure -- could you ask that again?

Q Yeah.

A Sorry, I started talking and I just kind of went on.

Q I was just asking if a situation arose where the accuracy of the fact in an editorial was questioned, how would you go about going back and checking the sources that were used to verify the fact in question?

A Well, it would depend on how much time had elapsed. But, you know, you could go into your Google history and, you know, there would probably be hundreds and hundreds of things in a day that you had looked at, and you could look at that.

1	L. Cohn
2	Q Yes.
3	Do you know?
4	A I don't know what you were given.
5	Q Well, let me ask you this.
6	Were you using Scoop as your content
7	management system as of June 14 of 2017?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay.
10	And if you look at the if we start with
11	the last page of the exhibit, there should be a line
12	there, it says, "Elizabeth Williamson, back field,
13	4:44 p.m."
14	A Oh, yes, uh-huh.
15	Q And then if we go up above that to the
16	second page of the exhibit, it should have your name
17	there with "back field" at 5:03 p.m.
18	A Yes.
19	Q Do you know, would that be, that 5:03 p.m.
20	stamp, would that be an accurate time period for
21	when you would have first reviewed and made any
22	changes or comments on the "America's Lethal
23	Politics" editorial?
24	A It means that I opened the file and made
25	some change, which could be as small as adding a

1 L. Cohn 2 space, and then stored it. But that sounds about 3 right for when I first picked it up. 4 All right. And if you go up above your name at that time stamp at 5:03, the first full 5 6 paragraph on that page where it says, "In the 7 aftermath of Wednesday's shooting, the political 8 Right and Left and both sides in the gun debate dove 9 into their respectful foxholes." 10 Do you see that? 11 Α Yes. 12 In bold, it says, "Do we know of any 13 elected officials on the Left who have incited 14 violence? Or just unaffiliated people online or 15 comedians, most are pro-qun control. Does this 16 graph imply equivalence?" 17 Did you insert that? I'm pretty sure I did. We usually, if this 18 19 were -- if I were looking in Scoop online, I would 20 hover my little cursor over there and it would 21 confirm who put it in. But I believe that was me, 22 to the best of my recollection, yes. 23 And do you recall why it was that you were 2.4 asking this first question, "do we know of any 25 elected officials on the Left who have incited

1 L. Cohn violence?" 2 3 Because the phrase before it says "both 4 sides" -- where it says "both sides dove into" --5 actually, let me read the sentence that came before 6 that, just to --7 And you may want to read -- read the entire 8 thing, because I'm going to ask you some other 9 questions about this, too. So go ahead and read the 10 entire thing. 11 So going back, the question of "do we know 12 of any elected officials on the Left who have 13 incited violence," do you recall, after reading the 14 entire draft that we're looking at, why you asked 15 that question? 16 Because, in that sentence, we're saying the 17 political Right and Left, the piece is implying 18 there's been this kind of vitriolic speech on both 19 sides of the political spectrum. And for me, the 20 issue comes -- and what the thresh of the piece is 21 that it comes back to gun control. 22 So while people on the Left might have been speaking in very strong terms politically about, you 23 2.4 know, how political opponents were hurting the 25 country, it wasn't -- they were also talking about

1 L. Cohn 2 qun control. 3 So their rhetoric wasn't paired with the 4 idea that the free flow of guns throughout our 5 culture was acceptable. That, to me, was the 6 distinction between the Left and the Right, 7 particularly as it pertains to this piece about a 8 shooting. 9 And then you used the word "incited" in 10 that question. What did you mean by "incited"? 11 12 Maybe fostered or said things that might 13 make people who were prone to such things feel that 14 they should take some sort of violent action. I 15 don't know. Just sort of a general -- I don't -- I, 16 I -- I certainly didn't mean someone was giving, 17 like, orders or saying go shoot someone or go commit 18 a violent act, but just that the rhetoric was ugly 19 enough that it would boil up and foster people to 20 take things into their own hands. 21 Now, at any point in time on June 14 of 0 22 2017, did anyone answer that question that you 23 asked, whether or not you knew of any elected 2.4 officials on the Left who had incited violence? 25 MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.

1 L. Cohn 2 I don't remember a specific -- someone Α 3 saying, you know, "person X did." I don't remember 4 any discussion. 5 And when you say in here "or just 6 unaffiliated people online or comedians," what were 7 you referring to? 8 Well, I was here really -- when we talk 9 about the political Right and Left, I was really 10 talking about people, not late night comedians or 11 someone like a Kathy Griffin. Someone you would 12 think is a little bit more part of the political 13 discussion. 14 And was this -- do you recall whether this 15 editorial was written around the same time period 16 that Kathy Griffin came under fire for posting a 17 picture of her holding a severed head of President 18 Trump? 19 I actually do not remember the timing of 20 when she did that. 21 But you do recall her doing that, correct? 0 22 Α I do. 23 Is that one of the things you were thinking 2.4 of when you referenced "or just unaffiliated people online or comedians" here? 25

1 L. Cohn 2 Objection to form. MS. SCHELL: 3 Seeing the word "comedian" makes me think 4 it could be that. In retrospect, I don't know if 5 that's what I was thinking of. 6 And when you asked "does this graph imply 0 7 equivalence," what do you mean? 8 That the heated rhetoric on the Right and 9 the heated rhetoric on the Left were the same. 10 think I thought that somehow the respective foxholes 11 line was a little bit -- and the Left was doing this 12 and doing that and the Right is doing that. It was 13 just parallel that their rhetoric was somehow 14 equivalent. 15 And when you said "incited violence" in 16 this bolded section that we've been looking at, at 17 this time on June 14 of 2017, at 5:03 p.m., did you 18 believe that the map that Sarah Palin's political action committee had circulated had incited Jared 19 20 Loughner to commit his shooting in 2011? 21 MS. SCHELL: Objection to form. 22 I -- no. I -- not that directly. I would think of it more as -- the way you phrased it sounds 23 2.4 very direct, that it -- that the map led him to 25 commit the shooting.

1 L. Cohn 2 I -- no, I did not see that. I saw it as, 3 as one example of the kind of heated political 4 rhetoric against which all these sorts of violent 5 activities were happening. That it was part of a 6 backdrop of how the conversation in this country was 7 becoming heated and often used violent imagery. 8 If you go to the first page of the exhibit, 9 there's a paragraph there that starts, "That in 10 minutes a single gunman." 10 11 Do you see that one? 12 Α Yes. 13 And then there's a bolded question there, 14 "Are there signs of mental illness or just in the 15 sense that anyone who commits mass shootings is 16 deranged?" 17 Is that a question that you put in there? 18 I'm not sure. 19 And do you know whether, during the course 20 of the day on June 14, 2017, whether anyone answered 21 that question, whether there were signs of mental 22 illness with Mr. Hodgkinson? 23 I don't know. 2.4 And right before that bolded question we're 25 looking at, it says, "Not all the details are known

1 L. Cohn 2 about, but a sickeningly familiar pattern is 3 emerging: a deranged" -- and if we take out the bold 4 part -- "individual with a gun -- perhaps multiple 5 guns -- and scores of rounds of ammunition uses 6 politics as a pretense for a murderous shooting 7 spree." 8 When you reviewed this, where it says "a 9 sickeningly familiar pattern is emerging," what was 10 your understanding of what that pattern was? 11 MS. SCHELL: Objection to form. 12 I really can't recall what I would have 13 been thinking at the time about a specific phrase 14 like that. 15 Do you recall whether or not, on June 14 of 16 2017, around the time of 5:00, this draft that we've 17 been looking at, were you aware of any of the other 18 pieces that were being worked on for the editorial 19 page the following day? 20 MS. SCHELL: Objection to form. 21 Α I would have been aware of other pieces 22 being worked on. As a matter of course, I would 23 have been aware of other pieces. 2.4 Were you aware of op-eds that were being 25 worked on for the editorial page the following day?

1 L. Cohn 2 And would anyone have been checking to see, 0 3 like, as of June 14 of 2017, for example, whether 4 the op-ed -- there were op-ed pieces that were being 5 written on the same subject matter as the editorials 6 that were being written? 7 MS. SCHELL: Objection to form. 8 I mean, every -- those things were open. 9 Everyone was free to look and had access to the 10 directories for Opinion. So I don't recall that it 11 was any one person's specific job to do that. I 12 mean -- yeah. 13 And during the June 14 of 2017 time period, 14 was there anyone checking to make sure that there 15 wasn't going to be any kind of inconsistency 16 factually between op-eds and editorials that were 17 published the same day in the paper or online? 18 MS. SCHELL: Objection to form. 19 Well, I mean, everyone was trying to get 20 their individual parts right. And then, I think, 21 you know, when I was a copy editor, I would see both 22 sometimes and, yes, sometimes you would notice 23 something in one piece. An Opinion piece might 2.4 trigger you to recheck something in an editorial and

25

vice versa, but...

L. Cohn
Q Do you know who the copy editor was for the
"America's Lethal Politics" editorial?
A I don't recall who would have picked that
up. I don't know.
Q We'll go through it. I think I have who it
is. I was just seeing if you remember while we were
talking about that.
Let me show you now what we're going to
mark as 32F.
And have you already closed 32E?
A No.
Q Let me ask you one more question before we
move on. The portion that we were looking at with
respect to your edits and back field was at
5:03 p.m. And if you look on the first page, above
those edits, you have it shows Mr. Bennet, back
field, at 6:32 p.m.
A Uh-huh.
Q Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Do you know whether or not the conversation
that you talked about earlier that you had with
Mr. Bennet when you were at the door of his office,
did that occur in the time period between 5:03 p.m.

1	L. Cohn
2	and 6:32 p.m.?
3	A I think it would probably have been around
4	then. I yeah. It wasn't before I picked up the
5	piece. And I know it was by 7:00, I would say. So
6	it could have been at 6:30. Either a little before
7	or a little after.
8	Q So once Mr. Bennet picks up the piece at
9	6:32 p.m., are you able to go in and make changes to
10	it?
11	A No.
12	Q So once he's in the document, what
13	capabilities did you have with respect to the
14	editorial?
15	A I could only see what it would have looked
16	like before he picked it up. I could only see the
17	previous version unless he hit the "save" button,
18	which would update it.
19	Q Okay.
20	And then now if you go to Exhibit 32F.
21	A Okay.
22	(Exhibit 32F was shown to the
23	witness.)
24	Q If you go to the last page of the exhibit,
25	there should be a time stamp there below your name

```
1
                           L. Cohn
     and back field at 7:17 p.m.?
 2
 3
         Α
              Yes.
 4
              Would that indicate that, by 7:17 p.m., you
 5
     were able to go back in and access and make changes
     to the document?
 6
 7
              That shows that, at 7:17, I stored some
 8
     change I had made, I think, yeah.
 9
              Would you have been able to do that if
10
     Mr. Bennet was still editing the piece at that time?
11
                   I mean, while I -- well, I think --
         Α
              No.
12
              MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
13
              I think the way the system worked at that
14
     time -- you know, I can't recall. We changed
15
     computer systems, but there was a period where one
16
     person could be working on the headline and someone
17
     else could be working on the text.
18
              But if we're just talking about the text,
19
     that just shows that, at 7:17, I made a change and
20
     stored it. I don't know whether I kept the piece up
21
     after that or if he then took it and went back into
22
          I would have to see all the time stamps. I
23
     don't know.
                  It does show I made a change at 7:17.
2.4
              And if you -- if you start looking through
25
     Exhibit 32F that we're looking at, the text of the
```

L. Cohn
editorial itself, you see how it's all bold?
A Yes.
Q What does that indicate?
A You know, I'm not completely sure, because
I don't know how these things are printed out
from what it looks like when you print out
something from Scoop.
But it I don't think it was just so in
bold in the type. That may be showing it could
be showing new text. That's my guess. But I
can't I can't know for sure. I would have to ask
more of a tech person.
Q And then on this first page, right at the
beginning, underneath that bolded line where
Mr. Bennet's name is.
A Uh-huh.
Q It says "print headline," and there's a
number of potential headlines listed there?
A Correct.
Q Do you know whether you came up with those
potential headlines?
A Let me look at those and think back.
I can't say for sure because other people
could have contributed headlines too, like Nick Fox

1	L. Cohn
2	or, you know, anyone else could have put them in.
3	Elizabeth could have sent some in. People could
4	have inserted some in, so I don't know. Certain
5	ones sound like me to me, but
6	Q And then if you go down to the very bottom
7	of the first page, there's a paragraph there that
8	reads, "Was this attack evidence of how vicious
9	American politics has become? Probably, in 2011,
10	when Jared Lee Loughner opened fire in a"
11	A I'm sorry
12	Q Do you see that?
13	A I just got to it now.
14	Q That's okay.
15	Do you see that paragraph that starts with
16	"Was this attack evidence"? Can you read that
17	paragraph for me? Not out loud, just read it to
18	yourself.
19	A Oh, good.
20	Q Okay.
21	Do you know who wrote that paragraph?
22	A It would it would I would only be
23	able to guess. I don't know.
24	Q When we had looked back at that
25	Exhibit 32E, which was the 5:03 version, at that

1	L. Cohn
2	particular paragraph, do you notice how it's changed
3	between the 5:03 version in Exhibit 32E and this
4	version in Exhibit 32F?
5	A I wish I could have them together, but can
6	you can you read this other paragraph to me while
7	I'm looking at this one?
8	Q Yeah. I'll read it to you while you're
9	looking at this one.
10	And this is out of Exhibit 32E. It says,
11	"Just as in 2011 when Jared Lee Loughner opened fire
12	in a supermarket parking lot, grievously wounded
13	Representative Gabby Giffords and killing six
14	people, including a nine-year-old girl,
15	Mr. Hodgkinson's rage was nurtured in a vial
16	political climate. Then, it was in the pro-gun
17	Right being criticized. In the weeks before the
18	shooting, Sarah Palin's political action committee
19	circulated a map of targeted electoral districts
20	that put Ms. Giffords and 19 other Democrats under
21	stylized crosshairs."
22	A Okay. And what's
23	MS. SCHELL: Shane, did you have a
24	question?
25	MR. VOGT: Yeah.

1	L. Cohn
2	spend a long time working on a piece and so no other
3	editor could pick up that piece. It was not usual
4	to spend, you know, hours on a piece, but it's
5	also relative. It's a little hard for me to answer
6	that. He did sometimes edit heavily, yes. And if
7	he had the piece up, other people would not have
8	been able to get into it. That's always the case.
9	When I have a piece up, someone can't get into it.
10	Q While you worked for Mr. Bennet, did he
11	show any particular interest in certain topics?
12	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
13	A He seemed to, you know the editorial
14	page is just such a generalist page. There were a
15	lot of issues he was interested in. He was
16	interested in big cultural issues and he wanted us
17	to be doing more on that. He was interested in the
18	work Brent Staples was doing, writing about race.
19	Obviously, you know, interested in
20	politics, it's an editorial page, so yes. He seemed
21	to have pretty broad interests.
22	Q Did did you ever see him express a
23	particular interest in political rhetoric?
24	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
25	A I think he's very thinks about language

1	L. Cohn
2	a lot and he's very sensitive about language and how
3	it affects the culture. I think that's something
4	that interests him. And I know that he was a very
5	strong believer in maintaining discourse. I think
6	that was of interest to him. I don't know if I
7	could define something being what was your
8	phrase of particular interest?
9	Q Yes.
10	A Yeah.
11	Q Did Mr. Bennet ever express a particular
12	interest in gun control issues?
13	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
14	A He was very concerned, yeah, of the
15	epidemic of gun violence in the country. But in
16	that amount of time, I don't see that as being a big
17	focus. I don't think there was one individual focus
18	that had developed yet at that time or I mean, or
19	maybe since. But I couldn't say that was a big
20	focus of his, no. Certainly no more than his
21	predecessors.
22	Q At this time period, June 14 of 2017, were
23	you aware that Mr. Bennet's brother was a
24	U.S. senator?
25	A Yes.

1		L. Cohn
2	Q	And at this time period, June 14 of 2017,
3	were you	aware that Mr. Bennet's brother, his office
4	was threa	atened around the same time as the Giffords
5	shooting	?
6	А	No.
7		MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
8	Q	Did you ever hear Mr. Bennet talk about his
9	brother's	s office being threatened at any point in
10	time?	
11	А	No.
12		MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
13	Q	What does the phrase "trim" mean?
14		MS. SCHELL: Objection.
15		Where are you looking?
16		MR. VOGT: I'm just asking a question.
17		MS. SCHELL: Oh, okay.
18	А	To cut.
19	Q	And in the context of an editorial, when
20	the phras	se "trim" is used, what would that mean?
21		MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
22	А	To cut or shorten.
23	Q	Let me show you Exhibit 103.
24		(Exhibit 103 was shown to the
25		witness.)

1	L. Cohn
2	it was inaccurate?
3	MS. SCHELL: Objection to form.
4	A No. But I can see here he said that I
5	don't think it was a high school ball field. So
6	maybe we cut the word "high school" and just say
7	ball field, if we don't know for sure if it was a
8	high school or grade school or some other. So I can
9	see that in the email. Yeah.
10	Q Let me show you Exhibit 108.
11	(Exhibit 108 was shown to the
12	witness.)
13	A Okay.
14	Q And it should be a June 14th email string.
15	It starts at the very top, there's an
16	8:18 p.m. email from you to Mr. Fox; is that right?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And the subject line is Shooting H-E-D and
19	blurg [sic]?
20	A Yeah. That's a typo. Blurb.
21	Q So he's writing you here concerning the
22	headline for the editorial and the blurb for it; is
23	that right?
24	A I think we said this was from me to him,
25	but that part but, yeah, I don't know who

117

1 L. Cohn 2 maybe he started the chain, yes. 3 I think he started it at, if you look down 4 there, at 7:12 p.m.? 5 Α Uh-huh. 6 And then one of the things he writes down 7 there is, "Circumstances were unusual, but the cause 8 of the assault on Congressional representatives is 9 not -- the availability of firearms." 10 Do you see that? 11 Α Uh-huh. 12 Did you have any conversations or discussions with Mr. Fox about the headline or blurb 13 14 for the editorial? 15 Α Well, I can see here we had some back and 16 forth, but I don't know if we had discussions in 17 person. I remember we had quite a bit of back and 18 forth between James about the headline. 19 What do you recall about the back and forth 20 between you and Mr. Bennet about the headline? 21 I mean, I threw out some ideas. You know, 22 he would -- I remember him being, hmm, maybe, hmm, 23 and then I think he threw something out. I don't 2.4 really remember who chose what, but it can just take 25 a while to get something -- it took a while to get

1	L. Cohn
2	something he liked and approved of. I don't know if
3	he, in the end, wrote the headline.
4	Q The back and forth that you were just
5	talking about with Mr. Bennet concerning the
6	headline, was that verbal or was it done through
7	email or chat?
8	A I really can't recall. I can't recall.
9	But there was probably some chat. There was
10	probably some phone call.
11	Q And then we had looked at, on Exhibit 32F,
12	there were several potential print headlines listed
13	in there.
14	And then I'm going to show you Exhibit 32G.
15	(Exhibit 32G was shown to the
16	witness.)
17	A Wait, sorry, I think I opened something
18	that's not 32G. Hold on one second.
19	Okay, I have 32G.
20	Q If you go to the very last page of the
21	exhibit.
22	A Okay.
23	Q Do you see the print headline there has
24	several, I think it's one long it appears to
25	be one long sentence, but is that several different

07, 2020 119

	L. Cohn
potentia	l headlines?
A	It is.
Q	And if you go up a few pages before that,
there sh	ould be what we call a Bates number down at
the bott	com, it says "NY Times," and then has a
number a	after it.
A	Okay.
Q	The one I'm looking at should say 224.
A	Okay. Let me go down then.
	Yes, got that.
Q	And do you see the print headlines listed
there?	
A	No.
Q	I may have my numbers off.
	Let me ask you this: If you go to the very
first pa	ige of the exhibit, it has the "America's
Lethal F	Politics" headline?
A	Yes.
Q	Who came up with that title or headline?
A	I'm pretty sure that was James.
Q	And do you know whether or not he was the
one who	inserted that headline into the editorial in
Scoop or	did somebody else do that?
A	I don't recall. Often he would tell me a
	there should be the bottom the bottom number and A Q A A Q A A Q A A Q A Q One who Scoop or S

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	I, AMANDA McCREDO, a Shorthand Reporter
5	and Notary Public of the State of New York, do
6	hereby certify:
7	That the witness whose examination is
8	hereinbefore set forth was duly sworn, and that
9	such examination is a true record of the
10	testimony given by such witness.
11	I further certify that I am not related to any
12	of the parties to this action by blood or
13	marriage, and that I am in no way interested in
14	the outcome of this matter.
15	
16	
17	
18	AMANDA McCREDO
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Exhibit 5

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 3 CASE NO.: 17 Civ. 4853 4 SARAH PALIN, an individual, 5 Plaintiff, 6 -vs-7 8 THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY, a New York corporation, and 9 JAMES BENNET, an individual, 10 Defendants. 11 12 13 VIDEOTAPED 14 DEPOSITION 15 OF 16 TIMOTHY CRAWFORD 17 (Via Videoconference) 18 19 May 12, 2020 10:03 a.m. - 1:19 p.m. 20 (Proceedings conducted remotely) 21 22 23 Stenographically Reported By: SHARON VELAZCO, RPR 24 Registered Professional Reporter 25

1	MR. VOGT: Yes. I am just objecting to the form
2	of his question. And, it is legal issues for if and
3	when this ever is attempted to be used in court. It
4	is a lawyer thing so we don't waste a lot of time
5	during this. It is lawyer stuff.
6	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
7	So, Thomas, can you repeat the question, please?
8	BY MR. SULLIVAN:
9	Q. Of course. Was her style of rhetoric an
10	important part of Ms. Palin's appeal?
11	MR. VOGT: Same objection.
12	THE WITNESS: I think it was a small part, but
13	yeah. Absolutely. Sure.
14	BY MR. SULLIVAN:
15	Q. And when you say she talked like the Republican
16	voters, what do you mean by that?
17	MR. VOGT: Objection to form.
18	You can answer.
19	THE WITNESS: I mean that she was talking about
20	their everyday problems, as because she is
21	experiencing those problems, as well. And, when she
22	would talk about when she would talk about too
23	many taxes, too much government interference, et
24	cetera, et cetera, people understood people
25	people believed, and I believed that she understood

1	them.
2	BY MR. SULLIVAN:
3	Q. And did voters appreciate, in your view, her
4	authenticity?
5	MR. VOGT: Objection to form.
6	You can answer.
7	THE WITNESS: Sure.
8	I mean, "voters" is pretty general. You would
9	have to say "some voters."
10	BY MR. SULLIVAN:
11	Q. Did her supporters appreciate her
12	A. Absolutely. Absolutely.
13	Q. So, you mentioned that you first got to know
14	Mrs. Palin well through her political action committee; is
15	that correct?
16	A. I think you need I need to go through the
17	narrative of how it began.
18	Q. Yes. I am going we will go through that in a
19	second.
20	A. Okay. But yes, that's correct.
21	Q. What is a political action committee, to start at
22	the high level?
23	A. A political action committee, as defined by the
24	FEC, is essentially a group of individuals who can band
25	together and for their common, their common beliefs,

1	common good.
2	It was originally set up for corporations to
3	solicit their management to donate money to candidates or
4	incumbents that they wanted to support, for whatever
5	reason.
6	Q. So you would say, if General Electric wanted
7	to create a PAC
8	A. They did.
9	Q they would get all their senior executives
10	together and say
11	A. And I don't remember the exact wording on the
12	FEC, but it was management level, that they could solicit.
13	I think. They couldn't solicit all their employees.
14	Q. We have been using the phrase "PAC." Is PAC just
15	shorthand for Political Action Committee?
16	A. It is.
17	Q. What distinguishes a leadership PAC from any
18	other kind of PAC?
19	MR. VOGT: Objection to form.
20	You can answer.
21	THE WITNESS: So, as I said, when it first
22	when I was first at the FEC in 1975 and PACs were
23	just beginning, they were all corporate PACs except
24	for there were some organizations that had PACs.
25	The NEA had well, all of the unions had PACs,

1	but the National I can't remember, the N-E-C, or
2	N-A-C, that was an acronym, it was a Democratic PAC
3	that was that was began in that time. ACU had a
4	PAC. Americans for Constitutional Action had a PAC.
5	And then corporations had a PAC.
6	Leadership PACs I can't pinpoint when they
7	came into effect. But, essentially, it was a
8	leadership PAC was a a member of Congress who held
9	a high-ranking position in their conference. So,
10	majority leader, minority leader, maybe the
11	communications person, conference chair those kind
12	of things would be called leaders, and they some
13	of those people set up PACs. And the the reason
14	would be for those PACs to support either members of
15	their conference, their caucus, or candidates running
16	for seats.
17	BY MR. SULLIVAN:
18	Q. So, is the First Monday PAC by Speaker Gingrich,
19	was that would that be considered a leadership PAC?
20	A. It was.
21	Q. Would Congressman Watson's PAC be considered a
22	leadership PAC?
23	A. Yes, because he was head of conference at that
24	time.
25	Q. So, is a leadership PAC then associated with a

1	BY MR. SULLIVAN:
2	Q. In crafting a solicitation, was any thought given
3	to the impact on Mrs. Palin's reputation for each
4	solicitation?
5	A. Always.
6	Q. You had mentioned that Mrs. Palin would be asked
7	to give her approval to solicitations being sent out in
8	her name; correct?
9	A. From her.
10	Q. And why was that?
11	A. I asked and answered, but it is because it's
12	going from her. So she would want to know about what she
13	was saying, and approve what she was going to say.
14	Q. I'm going to send you what is our next exhibit
15	here.
16	(Exhibit No. 15 was marked for Identification.)
17	THE WITNESS: Okay, if this thing keeps scrolling
18	up, because I am going to run out of space over here
19	on my
20	BY MR. SULLIVAN:
21	Q. It will keep scrolling up.
22	A. Okay. 15?
23	Q. 15, yes.
2324	Q. 15, yes. Please let me know when you have it downloaded.

	22,,
1	Q. So, I have sent over what has been marked as
2	Defense Exhibit 15. Do you recognize this image?
3	A. I do.
4	Q. And what is it?
5	A. It is a map of the United States, with Google Map
6	Tools trying to show where districts are, the districts
7	were districts that went for McCain-Palin, but were
8	represented by Democratic members.
9	Q. You said Google Map Tools. What do you mean by
10	that?
11	A. As I recall this, that was pulled from the Google
12	from Google Map Tools back then.
13	Q. The map of the United States, or the symbols
14	placed over the districts?
15	A. The symbols that are marking where
16	Q. I'm sorry. The symbols that are marking where?
17	A. Where the districts are.
18	Q. Are you aware that some people have interpreted
19	those symbols to be gun sites?
20	A. Can I log out?
21	Q. Let's keep it up for a second just because we are
22	going to be talking about it in a little bit.
23	A. Okay. It is disconcerting because I can't see
24	you.
25	Q. Oh, okay.

1	A. Let me do that now, I can. Okay.
2	Yes, I am aware of that.
3	Q. And, when creating the map, was there any
4	discussion of the symbols looking like gun sites?
5	A. I don't recall the conversation to whether or not
6	it was specifically looking like gun sites.
7	And, I just recall that I recall that
8	recall that the conversation went, "We pulled we pulled
9	these from Google Mapping Tools," and I remember me saying
10	that "That will be okay then."
11	Q. Who first came up with the idea of this map?
12	A. Hang on a second. I've got workmen outside. So
13	if you could that's the reason you are hearing the
14	background noise. Jason Rechter did.
15	Q. And when was that?
16	A. In the spring of 2010.
17	Q. And who actually created the map?
18	A. Hang on one second. I'm going to ask this guy to
19	stop.
20	Q. Shall we go off the record for
21	A. I'll be back in 30 seconds.
22	Okay. Sorry. I'm back. He was moving away.
23	So
24	Q. Okay. So you can hear me?
25	A. Yes.

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	
4	STATE OF FLORIDA
5	COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE
6	
7	I, SHARON VELAZCO, Registered Professional
8	Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did
9	stenographically report the deposition of TIMOTHY
LO	CRAWFORD; and that the transcript is a true record of my
L1	stenographic notes.
L2	I further certify that I am not a relative,
L3	employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor
L 4	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
L5	attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
L 6	financially interested in the action.
L7	
L8	Dated this 13th day of May, 2020.
L 9	QA No.
20	SHARON VELAZCO, RPR
21	Registered Professional Reporter
22	
23	
24	
25	